## ORIGINAL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS STATE OF MISSOURI

STATE OF MISSOURI,

Plaintiff,

vs,

Cause No. 821-2021

RODNEY LINCOLN,

Defendant,

Deposition of Witness

JOHN A. SALAMONE

on Behalf of the Defendant.

June 20, 1983

JUL -7 1983

FREEMAN R. BOSLEY, JR.
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT

Reported by Georganne L. Baker Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter of

# Baker Reporting

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS — NOTARIES PUBLIC
300 CHESTERFIELD CENTER — SUITE 190
CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI 63017
314/532-5407

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS 2 STATE OF MISSOURI STATE OF MISSOURI, 3 Plaintiff, 4 Cause No. 821-2021 5 vs. RODNEY LINCOLN, Division No. 16 6 Defendant. 7 DEPOSITION OF WITNESS produced, sworn and examined 8 on the 20th day of June, 1983, between the hours of eight o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in the afternoon 10 11 of that day, at the offices of the Circuit Attorney of the City of St. Louis, 1320 Market Street, in the City 12 of St. Louis, State of Missouri, before Georganne L. Baker, 13 14 a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now 15 pending in the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis, 16 State of Missouri, wherein State of Missouri is Plaintiff 17 and Rodney Lincoln is Defendant; taken on behalf of the 18 Defendant. 19 <u>A</u> <u>P</u> <u>P</u> <u>E</u> <u>A</u> <u>R</u> <u>A</u> <u>N</u> <u>C</u> <u>E</u> <u>S</u> 20 21

For	the	Plaintiff	• .		Joseph L. Bauer, Jr.
					Assistant Circuit Attorney
					1320 Market Street
					Room 332
					St. Louis, Missouri 63101

25

22

23

24

1 For the Defendant . . . . Robert A. Hampe Lisa Parsons Attorneys at Law 2 7 N. Seventh Street 3 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 4 Stipulation: 5 Before the taking of the within deposition, the parties, by their counsel, stipulate that same is being taken pursuant to notice; counsel further stipulate that 6 same may be taken by stenotypy and thereafter typed, and regularly filed in the case, subject to objections as to 7 competency, relevancy, and materiality. 8 JOHN A. SALAMONE, 9 of lawful age, being produced, sworn and examined on behalf 10 of the Defendant, deposeth and saith: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. HAMPE: 13 Sir, would you tell us your name and occupation, 14 please, for the record? 15 John Salamone. Police Officer in the St. Louis 16 Metropolitan Police Department. 17 How long have you been so employed? Q 18 I've been a police officer since February of 19 1963. 20 Q To what section or division are you assigned? 21 I'm assigned to Supervisor Latent Unit of the 22 Records Identification Division. 23 How long have you been so assigned? Q 24 Since October of 1966, I've been a member of 25

the Latent Unit. 1 During the period of time that you've been 2 assigned to the Latent Unit, can you tell us what primarily 3 consisted of your duties as it relates to print and print 4 identification? 5 The examination of latent fingerprints which 6 have been submitted to us by members of the E.T.U. to make 7 the examination to attempt to establish the identity of 8 a latent print. 9 Prior to that, we made the crime scene examination, 10 ourselves. 11 Did you have an occasion pursuant to the course 0 12 and scope of your duties to examine latent prints related 13 to the killing of a woman named JoAnn Tate? 14 Yes, I did. 15 Can you tell me how many latent prints you 16 examined in that particular case? I guess, lifts would 17 be a better terminology, latent prints. 18 Yes, there were several here. A 19 There were five latent lifts, one photograph, 20 and there were several prints that were developed off of 21 a magazine? 22 There is another one, there are six latent 23 lifts. 24 Six altogether? 25

1	A Six latent impressions, yes, sir, now that was
2	lifted.
3	Q That doesn't include the magazine, I take it?
4	A That is correct. That does not.
5	Q Related to the magazine, did you examine the
6	magazine, I take it?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q For identifiable prints?
9	A There were give me a second to refer to my
10	notes here. There were several. I don't have it spelled
11	out here, I'll have to refer to that again. There were
12	several that were not identified; there was quite a few
13	of no value.
14	O Were there any, I want to use the right terminolo-
15	gy, were there any prints or lifts taken from the magazine?
16	A There is prints that were not lifted from it.
17	It was chemically examined with a chemical called Ninhydrin
18	where the prints were developed on the magazine, and then
19	photographed and preserved in that manner.
20	Q Did you examine those in attempt to identify
21	them?
22	A Yes, sir, I did.
23	Q Did you?
24	A No. Of the Defendant?

ł	
1	A Yes. On Page No. 4, center top, was the
2	impression of Gerald Woodward; Page No. 5, bottom was the
3	right thumb of Gerald Woodward; and Page 5, center edge,
4	was also the No. 1 finger, the right thumb of Gerald
5	Woodward.
6	Q Were there any prints of sufficient quality
7	or sufficient points of identification on that magazine
8	which had not yet been identified as to the make?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q How many are those?
11	A One, on Page 29.
12	Q Are there any other other than the one on
13	Page 29?
14	A That's all which I have in my records.
15	Q You had access somewhere along the line, I
16	take it, to the inked prints of Rodney Lincoln?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Did the print on Page 29 of the magazine compare
19	favorably? In other words, did you make an identification
20	of that print as Rodney Lincoln's?
21	A No, sir, it was not his print.
22	Q Incidentally, by fingerprint, can we tell
23	race, age, sex, or anything?
24	A No, sir.
25	Q The print that you have a photograph of, is

-6-

that a print on a knife?

A There is a photograph of a patent impression on a knife blade.

Q That's the print that you referred to as one photograph of a print?

A No. There is another one, also. I take it back. Referring to my notes here, let's see, there was one Polaroid photograph of a part of a window frame and was non-sufficient for identification.

Q Then, in addition to the magazine and the photograph on the window frame, we have five latent impressions which are actually lifts?

A Yes, sir, that is correct.

Q In addition to the five lifts, the one photograph, and the impressions on the magazine, is that all of what we are talking about in this case?

A I have, let me see if I got you correct, there is five lifts, there is prints on the magazine, there is a Polaroid photograph of the window frame, and then there is the blade of the knife that was submitted, patent impression.

Q John, I'm just trying to make sure that we have everything lined up that we should be talking about.

A Yes, sir.

O So, we have the five lifts, we have the photo-

-8-

1	interior view mirror, blue Mercury Comet.
2	O Okay.
3	A D, inside surface of glass on vent window of
4	driver's door on blue Mercury Comet, two-door.
5	Q Okay.
6	A E is the same as D above, which would mean
7	inside surface of glass on vent window of driver's door,
8	blue Mercury Comet, two-door.
9	Q Using your reference symbols A, B, C, D, and
10	E, did you examine each and every one of these lifts?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Did you identify any or all of them?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Can you tell us down the line, A through E,
15	whose prints they were according to your determination?
16	A Lift A is of no value; Lift B, no identification;
17	Lift C was to the right thumb of the victim; Lift D, no
18	identification; Lift E, was to left index, left middle
19	and left ring finger of victim.
20	Q We consider, is it safe to consider the identi-
21	fication and examination of fingerprints the main course
22	and scope of your duties with the Department?
23	A That is correct, sir.
24	Q Can you tell us what training you have had
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q to do that?
2	A I was originally trained by J. Buford McGhann.
3	He was one of the originators of Identification Division
4	in St. Louis. I received subsequent training by the
5	Federal Bureau of Investigation in fingerprint classification
6	and in latent fingerprints; and I've also received additional
7	training by the Federal Bureau of Investigation at the FBI
8	Academy at Quantico, Virginia.
9	Q When you were attached or detached to the FBI
0	for training, were you ever instructed by a man names
1	Bonebrake?
2	A Yes no, sir, I was not. I am familiar with
3	the name, but I was not instructed by him.
4	Q Was he at the FBI Academy at any period of
5	time when you were there?
6	A I do not recall. I do not recall.
7	Q Would you recognize him as an expert in your
8	field?
19	. A Yes.
20	Q Now, can you tell us whether you belong to any
21	professional societies or organizations related to the
22	identification of fingerprints?
23	A I do not.
24	Q I was speaking specifically about the Inter-
25	national Association for Identification of Prints, or the

-10-

.	MISSOULT DIVISION OF TAT:
2	A I do not belong to that association.
3	Q Neither one? Do your records indicate the
4	date when you first came in contact with the latent, the
5	patent impressions on the knife blade?
6	A Somewhere in May, the latter part of May of
7	1982, or first part of, probably about the 28th or 29th
8	of May.
9	Q Upon examining the knife blade, what is the
10	next thing you did relative to the identification of those
11	prints or that print?
12	A It was photographed.
13	Q Has the original been preserved, the knife,
14	itself, been preserved?
15	A Yes, sir, it has.
16	Q And the print on it has been preserved?
17	A That is correct, sir.
18	Q After photographing the print, what did you
19	then do?
20	A That is the extent of it, basically. I don't
21	know exactly what you mean, Counselor.
22	Q Well, I'm looking, I'm trying to determine
23	whether the identification that subsequently was made that
24	that was some portion of a fingerprint of Rodney Lincoln,
25	did you make that determination then or later?

-11-

11	$\cdot$
1	A It was made sometime later.
2	Q Do you know when, sir?
3	A I would say, not exactly, off the top of my
4	head, I would say about a week later, somewhere thereabouts.
5	Q To assist you in the comparison of this print,
6	did you have available the inked fingerprints of Rodney
7	Lincoln?
8	A Yes, sir, I did.
9	Q From this arrest or a prior one?
10	A It was from this arrest.
11	Q Do you know whether he was printed at the
12	time he was arrested when he was booked?
13	A Yes, he was.
14	O Was he fingerprinted at a later time?
15	A Yes, he was.
16	Q Was that at your request?
17	A Yes, sir, he was.
18	Q Was he fingerprinted the second time, as far
19	as we're concerned with our conversation the second time,
20	were a complete set of prints taken, or just the right
21	index finger?
22	A It was complete set taken, as well as palm
23	prints and second and third digits, frictionary.
24	Q What was the purpose for the second printing?
25	A Comparison.

1	Q Of what to what?
2	A The left index finger, second digit, to the
3	patent impression.
4	O Was the area of the index finger, second digit,
5	printed at the time that he was arrested as part of his
6	booking?
7	A No, it was not.
8	Q Do you have any independent recollection when
9	he was booked a second time, or do your records indicate?
10	A He was not booked the second time.
11	Q I'm sorry. Printed the second time.
12	A I would have a record, I would have a copy
13	of the Court Order, I would assume, and the date would be
14	on here.
15	Q Who did the printing?
16	A I did. Do you need the date?
17	Q Yes, if you don't mind.
18	A He was fingerprinted by me on May the 25th,
19	1982; then, he was also fingerprinted by an Officer Paul
20	Weber on June the 22nd, 1982.
21	Q As far as you know, the 5-82 printing was the
22	printing at the time of his arrest or near the time of
23	his arrest as part of his booking?
24	A That was under a Court Order, I believe.
25	Maybe, it was at the time of his booking, I don't know.

2	your request:
3	A Probably, yes, sir.
4	Q Is there any reason why you didn't do the
5	later one, the later printing?
6	A I don't know. I could have been off that
7	particular day. I don't really know. Maybe at the time
8	I was done gone, or I could have been sick or in Court
9	or something.
0	O After Mr. Lincoln was arrested and printed
1	pursuant to his booking and then printed again at your
2	request, has he been printed a third time, if you know?
3	A Not to my knowledge.
4	Q I'm sure it is no secret and would come as no
5	surprise to you to know that after your identification of
6	that portion of the print being Rodney Lincoln's, that
7	the print was sent up to Bonebrake for
8	A For his examination and evaluation.
9	Q Thank you.
20	A Now, maybe off the record, maybe I could save
21	you some time?
22	(Whereupon a brief off-the-record discussion took place.)
23	MR. BAUER: I will stipulate that during our
24	conversation off the record, Officer Salamone indicated
25	that as things now stand, he is unwilling and will not

The 6-22-82 printing, was that the printing at

testify that the fingerprint on the knife in blood is that of the Defendant, Rodney Lincoln.

However, that could be subject to change.

MR. HAMPE: So that what you are really saying is, that as long as Bonebrake doesn't say it's Lincoln, John Salamone won't either?

MR. BAUER: That's my understanding, yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  HAMPE: As far as I'm concerned, we are terminated with this witness.

(WITNESS EXCUSED)

-15-

3

**4** 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Stipulation:

It is stipulated and agreed by all parties hereto, through their respective counsel, and by the witness in his own behalf, that submission of this deposition to the witness for examination and reading, and that the signing of the deposition by the witness, all as provided in Rule 57.03, Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure, are hereby expressly waived, and that the deposition may be filed with the court and be used as fully as though signed; provided, however, that without limitation as to the scope and effect of the waiver herein made, at any time before use of the deposition at trial, the witness may, by appearing before the officer having taken said deposition, as authorized under Rule 57.03 to take depositions in this state, make any changes in same he desires, in the manner, and with the effect as set out in Rule 57.03 (f); and that prompt notice be given to all parties of any changes so made.

\_

STATE OF MISSOURI )

SS,
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS )

I, GEORGANNE L. BAKER, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that pursuant to notice and pursuant to the foregoing stipulation at the offices of the Circuit Attorney of the City of St. Louis, 1320 Market Street, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri,

#### JOHN A. SALAMONE

came before me, was by me duly sworn to testify the whole truth of his knowledge of the matters in controversy aforesaid, was examined and his examination then written in stenotypy by me and afterwards typed, under my supervision, signature of the witness being expressly waived by consent of counsel and the witness, as hereinbefore set out, on the day and in that behalf aforesaid, and said deposition is herewith returned.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney, or relative of either party, or clerk or stenographer of either party, or of the attorney of either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.

My Commission expires: January 23, 1985.

Taxed for Robert A. Hampe, 7 N. Seventh -

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Missouri.

\_17\_